

# 2024 (G24) Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program Plumas County's Factual Findings

---

The information provided below is the OHMVR Division Factual Findings for this Applicant. The information provided reflects the OHMVR Division's review and determination of the Applicant's final Application.

For information regarding the appeal process, see Section 4970.17 of the [Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program regulations webpage](https://ohv.parks.ca.gov/?page_id=23747)  
[https://ohv.parks.ca.gov/?page\\_id=23747](https://ohv.parks.ca.gov/?page_id=23747)

---

## **General Evaluation Criteria:**

- #1d – OHV Opportunity Ratio. Add 1 point.
- #4 – The Applicant's information does not match the Land Manager's response. Deduct 3 points.
- #6 – The Applicant has been responsive. Add 3 points.
- #7b – The Applicant's response does not match the Land Manager's response. Deduct 3 points.
- #7c – The Applicant's response does not match the Land Manager's response. Deduct 2 points.
- #11a – The Applicant's response does not match the Land Manager's response. Deduct 5 points.

## **Ground Operations: G24-03-84-G01**

### **Project Description – Background**

- No change.

### **Project Description – Project Description**

- No change.

### **Project Description – List of Project Deliverables**

- #8 – The Applicant clarified what "will keep Plumas News, local paper, and social media channels informed on project progress" as a form of public outreach but didn't add any information that would qualify those efforts as a Ground Operations Project activity. These activities should be removed from this section.

## **Project Description – All Others**

- No change.

## **Project Cost Estimate**

- Materials / Supplies #1 "Native Rock Materials" – The Applicant added this line item without receiving a Division or public comment. The Applicant must remove line-item costs. Deduct \$128 from match.

Revised Totals:

Match: \$96,093

Total Project Cost: \$368,262.

## **Evaluation Criteria**

- #3 – The narrative does not support the selection of "Maintaining trails that provide for multi-use". The Applicant did not provide examples of Project activities being performed that support the selection. Deduct 5 points.
- #6 – The narrative does not support the selection of "Providing an alternative to wet crossings where appropriate." The Applicant did not provide example(s) of the activities performed in the Project that support the selections. The Applicant described activities that may occur "when appropriate" and "whether an alternative is needed" but does not definitively state whether these activities will occur. Deduct 1 point.